# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

RECEIVED & FILED SOLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 5 2018

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**, Plaintiff.

٧.

CASE NO. 17-537 (CCC)

US DISTRICT COURT SAN JUAN, PR

PHILIP FREED, Defendant.

PLEA AND FORFEITURE AGREEMENT (Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B))

#### TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW, the United States of America, by and through its attorneys for the District of Puerto Rico: Rosa Emilia Rodríguez-Vélez, United States Attorney; José Capó-Iriarte, Assistant United States Attorney and Chief, Criminal Division; Alberto R. López-Rocafort, Assistant United States Attorney, Deputy Chief of the Narcotics Unit; and Marc Chattah, Assistant United States Attorney, along with Defendant Philip Freed and his counsel Maria Dominguez-Victoriano, Esq., and pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B), state to this Honorable Court that they have reached a Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, the terms and conditions of which are as follows:

## 1. COUNTS TO WHICH DEFENDANT PLEADS GUILTY

Defendant agrees to plead guilty to the misdemeanor version of **Count Three** of the Indictment. **Count Three** of the Indictment charges, in sum and substance, that on or about September 12, 2017 in the District of Puerto Rico and elsewhere within the jurisdiction of this Court, Defendant **Philip Freed** did knowingly and willfully enter, in violation of security requirements prescribed under Title 49, <u>United States Code</u>, Sections 44901, 44903(b) or (c), or

44906, an aircraft or an airport area that serves an air carrier or foreign air carrier in violation of Title 49, <u>United States Code</u>, Section 46314.

#### 2. MAXIMUM PENALTIES

The Defendant understands that the penalty for the misdemeanor version of the offense charged in **Count Three** of the Indictment is: a term of imprisonment of not more than one (1) year; a fine not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00; and a term of supervised release not to exceed one (1) year, in addition to any term of incarceration, pursuant to Title 49, <u>United States Code</u>, § 46314.

#### 3. SENTENCING GUIDELINES APPLICABILITY

Defendant understands that the sentence will be left entirely to the sound discretion of the Court in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-86, and the United States Sentencing Guidelines (hereinafter "Guidelines"), which have been rendered advisory by the United States Supreme Court decision in the consolidated cases <u>United States v. Booker and United States v. Fanfan</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). Furthermore, Defendant acknowledges that parole has been abolished and that the imposition of his sentence may not be suspended.

#### 4. SPECIAL MONETARY ASSESSMENT

Defendant agrees to pay a special monetary assessment of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3013(a)(2)(A).

#### 5. FINES

Defendant is aware that the Court may, pursuant to USSG §5E1.2, order him to pay a fine sufficient to reimburse the government for the costs of any imprisonment, probation, or supervised release ordered. The Court may also impose restitution. As part of this Plea Agreement, the Defendant agrees to produce complete information regarding all restitution victims and Defendant

R

agrees to execute a financial statement to the United States (OBD Form 500). The parties agree to recommend a fine of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00).

## 6. **RULE 11(c)(1)(B) WARNINGS**

Defendant is aware that his sentence is within the sound discretion of the sentencing judge and of the advisory nature of the Guidelines, including the Guidelines Policy Statements, Application and Background Notes. Furthermore, the Defendant understands and acknowledges that the Court is not a party to this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement and thus, is not bound by this agreement or the sentencing calculations and recommendations contained. The Defendant specifically acknowledges that the Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence within the statutory maximum set for the offense to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant is aware that the Court may accept or reject the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, or may defer its decision whether to accept or reject the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement until it has considered the presentence investigation report. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3)(A). Should the Court impose a sentence up to the maximum established by statute, Defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw his guilty plea, and will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3)(B).

## 7. APPLICABILITY OF UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES

SENTENCING GUIDELINES CALGULATION TABLE CALGULAT	
Class A Misdemeanor (Not Covered by Another Specific Offense Guidleine). U.S.S.G. § 2X5.2.	6
Should Defendant clearly demonstrate acceptance of responsibility for the offense, Defendant's base offense level shall be further reduced by three (3) levels bursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1.	-2
TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL	4



#### 8. NO STIPULATION AS TO CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY

The parties do not stipulate as to any Criminal History Category for Defendant.

#### 9. SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION

As to **Count Three** of the Indictment, and after due consideration of the relevant factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the parties agree to recommend a sentence of **time served**, irrespective of criminal history, and a fine of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00). The parties agree to recommend immediate sentencing. The parties agree to place sufficient information on the record to allow the Court to exercise its sentencing responsibilities. As to **Count One** and **Count Two** of the Indictment, the Defendant has been referred for Pretrial Diversion in which he agrees to complete two hundred (200) hours of community service in coordination with the United States Probation Office.

#### 10. WAIVER OF APPEAL

The Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees that, if the imprisonment sentence imposed by the Court is six (6) months or less, the defendant waives the right to appeal any aspect of this case's judgment and sentence, including but not limited to the term of imprisonment or probations, restitution, fines, forfeiture, and the term of supervised release.

#### 11. NO FURTHER ADJUSTMENTS OR DEPARTURES

The United States and Defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to Defendant's total adjusted base offense level and no variance sentence under 18 U.S.C. §3553 shall be sought by Defendant. The parties agree that any request by Defendant for an adjustment or departure will be considered a material breach of this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, and the United States will be free to ask for any sentence, either guideline or statutory.





#### 12. SATISFACTION WITH COUNSEL

Defendant represents to the Court that he is satisfied with counsel, Maria Dominguez-Victoriano, Esq. and that counsel has rendered effective legal assistance.

## 13. RIGHTS SURRENDERED BY DEFENDANT THROUGH GUILTY PLEA

Defendant understands that by entering into this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement he surrenders certain rights as provided in this agreement. Defendant understands that the rights of criminal Defendants include the following:

- a. If Defendant had persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges, Defendant would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the assistance of counsel. The trial may be conducted by a judge sitting without a jury if Defendant, the United States and the judge agree.
- b. If a jury trial is conducted, the jury would be composed of twelve lay persons selected at random. Defendant and Defendant's attorneys would assist in selecting the jurors by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree, unanimously, before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that Defendant is presumed innocent, that it could not convict Defendant unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and that it was to consider each charge separately.
- c. If a trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and, after hearing all the evidence and considering each count separately, determine whether or not the evidence established Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- d. At a trial, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against Defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those witnesses and Defendant's attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, Defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on Defendant's own behalf. If the witnesses for Defendant would not appear voluntarily, Defendant could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court.
- e. At a trial, Defendant could rely on the privilege against self-incrimination to decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from Defendant's refusal to testify. If Defendant desired to do so, Defendant could testify on Defendant's own behalf.



#### 14. STIPULATION OF FACTS

The accompanying Stipulation of Facts signed by Defendant is hereby incorporated into this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement. Defendant adopts the Stipulation of Facts and agrees that the facts therein are accurate in every respect and, had the matter proceeded to trial, that the United States would have proven those facts beyond a reasonable doubt.

#### 15. FORFEITURE AGREEMENT

Pursuant to this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, the Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States any badges or identification which indicate he is an employee of the federal government or a law enforcement agency.

The Defendant agrees to consent to the entry of orders of forfeiture for such property and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that he understands that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the court to advise him of this, pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(J), at the time his guilty plea is accepted.

The Defendant further agrees to waive all statutory challenges in any manner (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement on any grounds, including that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. The Defendant agrees to take all steps as requested by the United States to pass clear title to forfeitable assets to the United States, and to testify truthfully in any judicial forfeiture proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that all property covered by this



agreement is subject to forfeiture as proceeds of illegal conduct, giving rise to forfeiture and/or substitute assets for property otherwise subject to forfeiture.

Defendant, by agreeing to the forfeiture stated above, acknowledges that such forfeiture is not grossly disproportional to the gravity of the offense conduct to which Defendant is pleading guilty.

Defendant agrees that the forfeiture provisions of this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement are intended to, and will, survive him, notwithstanding the abatement of any underlying criminal conviction after the execution of this agreement. The forfeitability of any particular property pursuant to this agreement shall be determined as if Defendant had survived, and that determination shall be binding upon Defendant's heirs, successors and assigns until the agreed forfeiture, including any agreed money judgment amount, is collected in full.

#### 16. LIMITATIONS OF PLEA AND FORFEITURE AGREEMENT

This Plea and Forfeiture Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Puerto Rico and Defendant. It does not bind any other federal district, state, or local authorities.

#### 17. ENTIRETY OF PLEA AND FORFEITURE AGREEMENT

This written agreement constitutes the complete Plea and Forfeiture Agreement between the United States, Defendant, and Defendant's counsel. The United States has made no promises or representations except as set forth in writing in this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement and deny the existence of any other term and conditions not stated herein.

#### 18. AMENDMENTS TO PLEA AND FORFEITURE AGREEMENT

No other promises, terms or conditions will be entered unless in writing and signed by all parties.



## 19. VOLUNTARINESS OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant acknowledges that no threats have been made against him and that he is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because he is guilty.

## 20. DISMISSAL OF PENDING COUNTS IN THE INDICTMENT

After sentencing and completion of all the terms of pretrial diversion, the United States of America will move to dismiss the pending counts of Indictment, if the Defendant is sentenced pursuant to the terms, conditions and recommendations of the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK --- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

#### 21. BREACH AND WAIVER

The Defendant understands and agrees that if the Defendant breaches the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, the Defendant may be prosecuted and sentenced for all of the offenses the Defendant may have committed. The Defendant agrees that if the Defendant breaches this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, the Government reserves the right to take whatever steps are necessary to nullify the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw from the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement and/or to set aside the conviction and sentence.

The Defendant also agrees that if he is in breach of this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, the Defendant is deemed to have waived any objection to the reinstatement of any charges under the indictment, information, or complaint which may have previously been dismissed or which may have not been previously prosecuted.

José	apo-Iriarte
Assist	ant V.S. Attorney
Chief, Dated	Criminal Division:
12	I he & Zong Phalm
	to R. López-Rocafort
	ant United States Attorney
	y Chief, Narcotics Unit
Dated	12/4/2017
More	Chattah

Assistant United States Attorney Dated: 12 4.17

ROSA EMILIA RODRÍGUEZ-VÉLEZ

United States Attorney

(II)
Maria Dominguez Victoriano, Esq.
Counsel for Defendant
Dated: 3-5-17
NA
Philip Freed
Defendant
Datad: 3-5-18

#### UNDERSTANDING OF RIGHTS

I have consulted with my counsel and fully understand all of my rights with respect to the Indictment pending against me. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my rights with respect to the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes which may apply in my case. I have read this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I fully understand this agreement and voluntarily agree to it.

Date: 3-5-17

Philip Freed
Defendant

I am the attorney for Defendant. I have fully explained Defendant's rights to Defendant with respect to the pending Indictment. Further, I have reviewed the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes, and I have fully explained to Defendant the provisions of those guidelines which may apply in this case. I have carefully reviewed every part of this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement with Defendant. I have explained the Plea and Forfeiture Agreement to the Defendant who has expressed having no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. To my knowledge, Defendant is entering into this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement voluntarily, intelligently, and with full knowledge of all consequences of Defendant's plea of guilty.

Maria Dominguez Victoriano, Esq.

Counsel for Defendant

STIPULATION OF FACTS

In conjunction with the submission of the accompanying Plea and Forfeiture Agreement in

this case, the United States submits the following summary setting forth the version of the facts

leading to Defendant's acceptance of criminal responsibility for violating Title 49, United States

Code, § 46314.

Count Three of the Indictment charges, in sum and substance, that on or about September

12, 2017 in the District of Puerto Rico and elsewhere within the jurisdiction of this Court,

Defendant Philip Freed did knowingly and willfully enter, in violation of security requirements

prescribed under Title 49, United States Code, Sections 44901, 44903(b) or (c), or 44906, an

aircraft or an airport area that serves an air carrier or foreign air carrier in violation of Title 49,

United States Code, Section 46314.

During questioning by law enforcement, Defendant Philip Freed admitted that he had

routinely utilized a counterfeit law enforcement badge and posed as law enforcement to facilitate

his access to a restricted portion of the San Juan International Airport as well as to "get through

Customs."

At trial, the United States would have proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant

Philip Freed, is guilty as charged in Count Three of the Indictment by presenting physical and

documentary evidence, including photographs and video recordings, as well the testimony of law

enforcement agents among others.

Discovery was timely made available to Defendant for review.

Maya Chattah

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: 13/05/2018

Maria Dominguez-Victoriano, Esq.

Counsel for Defendant

Dated: 3-5-1

18

Philip Freed
Defendant
Dated: 3-5-19